

JUN-23-2004 14:09

NIXON, PEARBODY 10TH

585 263 1600

P.02

***** -COMM.

NAL- ***** DATE JUN-16-2004

** TIME 12:21 *****

MODE - MEMORY TRANSMISSION

START=JUN-16 12:18

END=JUN-16 12:21

FILE NO.=152

STN NO. COMM. ABBR NO. STATION NAME/TEL NO. PAGES DURATION

001 OK 8 915712730947 003/003 00:02:02

-NIXON, PEARBODY 10TH -

***** -585 263 1600 - *****

585 263 1600- *****

Nixon Peabody LLP
Attorneys at Law

Clinton Square
P.O. Box 31051
Rochester, New York 14603-1051
(585) 263-1000

Fax: (585) 263-1600

PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information in this fax is intended for the named recipients only. It contains privileged and confidential matter. If you have received this fax in error, please notify us immediately by a collect telephone call to (585) 263-1000 and return the original to the sender by mail. We will reimburse you for postage. Do not disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.

FAX

To:	Company	Fax #:	Telephone #:
1) Examiner Anand U. Desai, Ph.D.	USPTO	571-273-0947	571-272-0947
2)			
3)			
4)			
5)			

INTERNATIONAL PHONE NUMBERS MUST INCLUDE COUNTRY & CITY CODE. SEE LOCAL WHITE PAGES FOR CODES NEEDED.

From: Edwin V. Merkel	Date: June 16, 2004	No. of Pages: (including this page)	19603/3296
Comments:			

Original of the transmitted document will be sent by:
☐ First Class Mail ☐ Overnight Mail ☐ Hand Delivery ☐ This transmission will be the only form of delivery of this document

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THESE PAGES, PLEASE CONTACT THE FAX OPERATOR AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AT: (585) 263-1660 or 263-1000 (ext. 1660). THANK YOU.

CONFIRMATION: DATE SENT _____ TIME _____ BY _____

INTEROFFICE TO: ☐ Albany ☐ Boston ☐ Buffalo ☐ Garden City ☐ Hartford ☐ Manchester ☐ New York City
 ☐ Northern Virginia ☐ Orange County ☐ Philadelphia ☐ Providence ☐ Rochester ☐ San Francisco
 ☐ Washington

To:	Company	Fax #:	Telephone #:
1) Examiner Anand U. Desai, Ph.D.	USPTO	571-273-0947	571-272-0947
2)			
3)			
4)			
5)			

INTERNATIONAL PHONE NUMBERS MUST INCLUDE COUNTRY & CITY CODE. SEE LOCAL WHITE PAGES FOR CODES NEEDED.

From: Edwin V. Merkel	Date: June 16, 2004	No. of Pages: (including this page)	Client/Matter: 19603/3296
User #: 5420	Ext: 1128	Disbursement Amount: \$	

R7776811

PATENT
Docket No.: 19603/3296 (CRF D-2098C)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants	:	Bogdanove et al.)	Examiner:
)	A. Desai
Serial No.	:	09/596,784)	
)	Art Unit:
Cnfrm. No.	:	3745)	1653
)	
Filed	:	June 19, 2000)	
)	
For	:	HYPERSENSITIVE RESPONSE ELICITOR)	
		FROM <i>ERWINIA AMYLOVORA</i> , ITS USE,)	
		AND ENCODING GENE)	

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the May 20, 2004, outstanding office action, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Initially, applicants would like to thank Examiner Desai for the courtesy extended to the undersigned attorney during telephone conferences on June 8 and June 15, 2004. During the phone conferences, it was agreed that the objections and the provisional rejection identified in the outstanding office action were improper and will be withdrawn upon filing of this request for reconsideration.

The objection to claims 18 and 20-28 is improper because claim 17—from which the objected claims ultimately depend—is allowable for the reasons noted below. The objection to claims 18 and 20-28 should therefore be withdrawn.

The objection to claim 39 is improper. Claim 39 depends from Claim 38, which recites a composition comprising two components (i.e., protein and carrier). Claim 39 further limits the composition of claim 38 by reciting a third component (i.e., an additive). Thus, claim 39 is a proper dependent claim, and the objection to claim 39 should therefore be withdrawn.

R77/670.1

Serial No. 09/596,784


- 2 -

The provisional rejection of claims 17, 19, 38, and 39 as being unpatentable under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting rejection over claims 1, 93, and 94 of copending Application No. 09/879,248 (US 2002/0062500 A1) should be withdrawn. Although applicants disagree with the merits of this provisional rejection, for the reasons noted in the response filed on January 26, 2004, this rejection should nevertheless be withdrawn because the provisional rejection is the only remaining rejection of the claimed subject matter. *See Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* § 804 at pages 800-19 (August 2001).

In view of all of the foregoing, applicants submit that this case is in condition for allowance and such allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 16, 2004


Edwin V. Merkel
Registration No. 40,087

NIXON PEABODY LLP
Clinton Square, P.O. Box 31051
Rochester, New York 14603-1051
Telephone: (585) 263-1128
Facsimile: (585) 263-1600

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

- ☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop _____, Commissioner of Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
- ☒ transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (571) 273-0947.

June 16, 2004


Signature

Laura L. Sonnevile

R777670.1